

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/452,658	12/01/1999	IKKO FUSHIKI	M61.12-0179	1604
75	590 04/08/2002			
WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY P A			EXAMINER	
900 SECOND	TERNATIONAL CENT AVENUE SOUTH	RE	HAVAN, THU THAO	
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 554023319		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2672	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ch

			(			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/452,658	FUSHIKI ET AL	FUSHIKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thu-Thao Havan	2672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence a	address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, howevery within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SI), cause the application to b	r, may a reply be timely filed  um of thirty (30) days will be considered tin ( (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25.	<u>lanuary 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ Th	is action is non-fina	al.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			the merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> </ul>		ion				
5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>31-38</u> is/are allowed.	· ·	ion.				
6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>57-36</u> is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 21-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) 10-20 and 27-30 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 10-20 and 21-30 is are objected to:	r election requirem	ent				
Application Papers	r cicolion requirem	ont.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	pted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a	ı).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approved	b) disapproved by the Exam	iner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office actio	n.				
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	ireau (PCT R <mark>ule 17</mark>	.2(a)).	al Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	nal application).			
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper l lotice of Informal Patent Application (l other:				

Art Unit: 2672

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

Claims 1-38 are pending in the present application.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 25, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As addressed below, Miller anticipated the claims.

- A.) Miller teaches describing at least a portion of a base image as a path when he discloses the computation of points to another points for image transformation method (col. 6, lines 16-23; fig. 8). As claimed, a path is just describing at least a portion of a base image. Miller teaches a path by the computations of points which makes up a portion of an image.
- B.) Miller teaches transforming function when he discloses computation of transformed points (fig. 3 and 8). In figures 3 and 8, Miller teaches transforming function with his computation method. In Miller, computation consisting of functions and transforming is in relation to computation.

### Claim Objections

Claim 10-20 and 27-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2672

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Examiner searching for the step of converting the transformed path from a function that describes an entire curve to a function of the form (please see formula on page 34, line 19) that describes a segment of the curve by setting each (please see formula on page 34, line 20) where c is a fixed fraction, in combination with the other elements of the claim, was not disclosed by, would not have been obvious over, nor would have been fairly suggested by the prior art of record.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-9 and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Miller et al. (US patent no. 6,226,418).

- 1. As to claims 1 and 21, the prior art Miller had:
- A.) A method of displaying an image on a computer screen the method comprising describing at least a portion of a base image as a path (col. 6, lines 16-67; col. 7, lines 1-10; fig. 2-3). In figure 2, Miller discloses the base image on the computer screen with the paths drawn in the diagram to see the changes from the original X to the new X format.

Application/Control Number: 09/452,658 Page 4

Art Unit: 2672

>

B.) The step of performing a non-affine transform on the path to produce a transformed path (col. 28, lines 65-67; col. 29, lines 1-12; col. 16-18; fig. 7 and 10-11). In figure 7, Miller teaches the non-affine transform of the image into another non-affine transform path of that image. Furthermore, Miller teaches the computation of a differeomorphic, non-affine transform for images and curves.

- C.) The step of rendering the transformed path onto the computer screen (**fig. 2**). In figure 2, Miller discloses the transform path is disclosed on the computer monitor.
- 2. As to claims 2 and 23, Miller discloses a bilinear transform (col. 3, lines 32-67). Miller teaches the linear transform that also calculates for changing from one image to another.
- 3. As to claims 3-4, 8, and 24, Miller discloses the portion of the base image as a path comprises describing the portion using a function of order n and 2n (col. 1, lines 50-67; col. 20, lines 38-67).
- 4. As to claims 5-7 and 25, Miller discloses the portion as a function of order one and three; and a non-affine transform comprises performing a perspective transform (col. 9, lines 400-67; col. 10; fig. 3-8). In figure 8, Miller discloses the function consists of one, two, or three order function.
- 5. As to claims 9 and 26, Miller discloses the step of approximating the transformed path as a series of lines and rendering each line in the series of lines (col. 1, 4, and 10-11). In figure 1, Miller discloses the rendering of the original lines into series of lines that rendering a new image.

Art Unit: 2672

5. As to claim 22, Miller discloses a smooth curve (col. 3, lines 48-67; fig.

9). Miller discloses the calculation of curvature and surface curve thus would include a

smooth curve.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 31-38 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The present invention relates in general to the transforming and rendering of graphical curves. The closest prior art, Miller (US 5,987,567) teaches a similar system, which also deals with image matching using curves and lines to generate new images. However, Miller fails to teach the step of converting a function of the form (please see formula on page 43, line 4) that describes a segment of the curves into a function of the form (please see formula on page 43, line 6) that describes a different sized segment of the curve by setting each (please see formula on page 43, line 9) where c is a fixed value that determines the segment size. Additionally, the prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the step of converting a function of the form (please see formula on page 43, line 22) that describes a segment of the curve into a function of the form (please see formula on page 44, line 1) that describes an adjacent segment of the curve by setting each (please see formula on page 44, line 4).

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

**Art Unit: 2672** 

<u>`</u>\

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu-Thao Havan whose telephone number is (703) 308-7062. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Michael Razavi can be reached on (703) 305-4713.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Thu-Thao Havan

April 2, 2002

MICHAEL RAZAVI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600